

Exhibit 18

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

SKATTEFORVALTNINGEN,

Plaintiff,

MASTER DOCKET

vs.

18-md-02865-LAK

TARVOS PENSION PLAN & JOHN VAN
MERKENSTEIJN,

**ANSWER TO AMENDED
COMPLAINT**

Defendants.

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

This document relates to:
Case No. 1:19-CV-01930-LAK

Defendants, Tarvos Pension Plan (“Tarvos”) and John van Merkensteijn (“van Merkensteijn”) (collectively, “Defendants”), by and through their undersigned attorneys, hereby answer and assert affirmative defenses and other defenses as follows to the Amended Complaint (ECF No.52, April 21, 2020) of Skatteforvaltningen (“SKAT”). Except as hereinafter expressly admitted, qualified, or otherwise answered, Defendants deny each and every allegation and assertion set forth in the Amended Complaint. The paragraphs that follow align with the numbered paragraphs in the Amended Complaint.

1. Defendants deny knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth or falsity of the allegations in Paragraph 1.

2. Defendants deny the allegations in Paragraph 2, except deny knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth or falsity of the allegations concerning other parties.

3. Defendants deny the allegations in Paragraph 3, except refer to the double taxation treaties between Denmark and other countries for a complete and accurate account of their contents and deny knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations concerning other parties.

4. Defendants deny submitting any fraudulent applications to SKAT and otherwise deny the allegations in Paragraph 4, except deny knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth or falsity of the allegations concerning other parties.

5. Defendants deny participating in any fraudulent scheme and otherwise deny the allegations in Paragraph 5, except deny knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth or falsity of the allegations concerning other parties.

6. Defendants deny knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth or falsity of the allegations in Paragraph 6.

7. Defendants deny making any false claims and deny the allegations in the first sentence of Paragraph 7, but otherwise deny knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth or falsity of the allegations in Paragraph 7.

8. Defendants deny knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth or falsity of the allegations in Paragraph 8.

9. Defendants deny any knowledge of or participation in a fraud and deny the allegations in Paragraph 9, except deny knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth or falsity of the allegations concerning other parties.

10. Defendants deny the allegations in Paragraph 10.

11. Defendants deny any knowledge of or participation in a fraud and deny the allegations in Paragraph 11, except deny knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth or falsity of the allegations concerning other parties.

12. Defendants deny any knowledge of or participation in a fraud and otherwise deny knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth or falsity of the allegations in Paragraph 12.

13. Defendants deny the allegations in Paragraph 13.

14. Paragraph 14 states legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny the allegations in Paragraph 14.

15. Paragraph 15 states legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny the allegations in Paragraph 15.

16. Defendants deny knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth or falsity of the allegations in Paragraph 16.

17. Defendants deny any allegation that Tarvos was not a bona fide pension plan under United States law. Defendants otherwise admit the allegations in Paragraph 17.

18. Defendants admit the first sentence of Paragraph 18. Defendants deny knowledge of or participation in any fraud and deny submitting fraudulent refund claims to SKAT. The remainder of Paragraph 18 states legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny the allegations in Paragraph 18, except deny knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth or falsity of the allegations concerning other parties.

19. Defendants deny knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth or falsity of the allegations in Paragraph 19.

20. Paragraph 20 states legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants refer to the Danish Withholding Tax Act for a complete and accurate account of its contents.

21. Paragraph 21 states legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants refer to the double taxation treaties between Denmark and other countries for a complete and accurate account of their contents.

22. Paragraph 22 states legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny the allegations in Paragraph 22, except refer to the Convention and Protocol between the United States and Denmark for the Avoidance of Double Taxation and the Prevention of Fiscal Evasion with Respect to Taxes on Income for a complete and accurate account of its contents.

23. Defendants deny knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth or falsity of the allegations in Paragraph 23.

24. Defendants deny knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth or falsity of the allegations in Paragraph 24.

25. Defendants deny knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth or falsity of the allegations in Paragraph 25.

26. Defendants deny that they submitted any fraudulent claims and deny the allegations in Paragraph 26, except deny knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth or falsity of the allegations concerning other parties.

27. Defendants admit that Tarvos received payments with respect to its refund claims from Goal. Defendants deny knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth or falsity of all other allegations of Paragraph 27.

28. Defendants deny knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations in Paragraph 28, except refer to the documents referenced in Paragraph 28 for a complete and accurate account of their contents.

29. Defendants deny making any false statements and deny the allegations in Paragraph 29, except deny knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth or falsity of the allegations concerning other parties.

30. Defendants deny submitting any fraudulent claims and deny the allegations in Paragraph 30 except deny knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth or falsity of the allegations concerning other parties.

31. Defendants deny knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth or falsity of the allegations in Paragraph 31.

32. Defendants deny knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth or falsity of the allegations in Paragraph 32.

33. Defendants deny knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth or falsity of the allegations in Paragraph 33.

34. Defendants deny knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth or falsity of the allegations in Paragraph 34, except admit that Tarvos made withholding tax refund claims through Goal.

35. Defendants deny submitting any fraudulent claims and deny the allegations in Paragraph 35, except deny knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth or falsity of the allegations concerning other parties.

36. Defendants deny submitting any fraudulent claims and deny the allegations in Paragraph 36, except deny knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth or falsity of the allegations concerning other parties.

37. Defendants deny knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth or falsity of the allegations in Paragraph 37, except admit that Tarvos made withholding tax refund claims through Goal.

38. Defendants deny the allegations in Paragraph 38.

39. Defendants deny the allegations in Paragraph 39.

40. Defendants deny submitting any false claims or any knowledge of or participation in any fraud, and deny the allegations in Paragraph 40, except deny knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth or falsity of the allegations concerning other parties.

41. Defendants deny submitting any false claims or any knowledge of or participation in any fraud, and deny the allegations in Paragraph 41, except deny knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth or falsity of the allegations concerning other parties.

42. Defendants deny the allegations in Paragraph 42.

43. Defendants deny the allegations in Paragraph 43.

44. Defendants deny the allegations in Paragraph 44.

45. Defendants deny submitting any false refund claims and deny the allegations in Paragraph 45.

46. Defendants deny the allegations in Paragraph 46.

47. Defendants deny the allegations in Paragraph 47, except admit that van Merkensteijn was a director of a financial services firm based at 40 West 57th Street, New York,

NY 10019, and deny knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth or falsity of the allegations concerning other.

48. Defendants deny knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth or falsity of the allegations in Paragraph 48.

49. Defendants deny knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth or falsity of the allegations in Paragraph 49.

50. Defendants deny the allegations in Paragraph 50, except refer to the power of attorney form referenced in Paragraph 50 for a complete and accurate account of its contents.

51. Defendants deny knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth or falsity of the allegations in Paragraph 51.

52. Defendants deny knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth or falsity of the allegations in Paragraph 52.

53. Defendants deny submitting any fraudulent claims and deny the allegations in Paragraph 53, except deny knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth or falsity of the allegations concerning other parties.

54. Defendants deny the allegations in Paragraph 54, except refer to the power of attorney form referenced in Paragraphs 49-50 for a complete and accurate accounts of its contents and deny knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth or falsity of the allegations concerning other parties.

55. Defendants deny the allegations in Paragraph 55, except deny knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth or falsity of the allegations concerning other parties.

56. Defendants deny knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth or falsity of the allegations in Paragraph 56.

57. Defendants deny participating in any fraud and deny the allegations in Paragraph 57, except deny knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth or falsity of the allegations concerning other parties.

58. Defendants deny knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth or falsity of the allegations in Paragraph 58.

59. Defendants deny knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth or falsity of the allegations in Paragraph 59, except refer to the dividend credit advice referenced in Paragraph 59 for a complete and accurate account of its contents.

60. Defendants deny the allegations in Paragraph 60.

COUNT I

61. To the extent any response to the allegations in this paragraph is required, Defendants restate their responses to paragraphs 1 through 60, above, as if fully set forth herein.

62. Paragraph 62 states legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny the allegations in Paragraph 62.

63. Paragraph 63 states legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny the allegations in Paragraph 63.

64. Paragraph 64 states legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny the allegations in Paragraph 64.

65. Paragraph 65 states legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny the allegations in Paragraph 65.

COUNT II

66. To the extent any response to the allegations in this paragraph is required, Defendants restate their responses to paragraphs 1 through 65, above, as if fully set forth herein.

67. Paragraph 67 states legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny the allegations in Paragraph 67.

68. Paragraph 68 states legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny the allegations in Paragraph 68.

69. Paragraph 69 states legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny the allegations in Paragraph 69.

70. Paragraph 70 states legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny the allegations in Paragraph 70.

71. Paragraph 71 states legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny the allegations in Paragraph 71.

72. Paragraph 72 states legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny the allegations in Paragraph 72.

COUNT III

73. To the extent any response to the allegations in this paragraph is required, Defendants restate their responses to paragraphs 1 through 72, above, as if fully set forth herein.

74. Paragraph 74 states legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny the allegations in Paragraph 74.

75. Paragraph 75 states legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny the allegations in Paragraph 75.

76. Paragraph 76 states legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny the allegations in Paragraph 76.

77. Paragraph 77 states legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny the allegations in Paragraph 77.

78. Paragraph 78 states legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny the allegations in Paragraph 78.

COUNT IV

79. To the extent any response to the allegations in this paragraph is required, Defendants restate their responses to paragraphs 1 through 78, above, as if fully set forth herein.

80. Paragraph 80 states legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny the allegations in Paragraph 80.

81. Paragraph 81 states legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny the allegations in Paragraph 81.

82. Paragraph 82 states legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny the allegations in Paragraph 82.

83. Paragraph 83 states legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny the allegations in Paragraph 83.

COUNT V

84. To the extent any response to the allegations in this paragraph is required, Defendants restate their responses to paragraphs 1 through 83, above, as if fully set forth herein.

85. Paragraph 85 states legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny the allegations in Paragraph 85.

86. Paragraph 86 states legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny the allegations in Paragraph 86.

COUNT VI

87. To the extent any response to the allegations in this paragraph is required, Defendants restate their responses to paragraphs 1 through 86, above, as if fully set forth herein.

88. Paragraph 88 states legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny the allegations in Paragraph 88.

89. Paragraph 89 states legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny the allegations in Paragraph 89.

90. Paragraph 90 states legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny the allegations in Paragraph 90.

91. Paragraph 91 states legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny the allegations in Paragraph 91.

REQUEST FOR RELIEF

Defendants state that no response is required to Plaintiff's request for relief in the paragraph following Paragraph 91. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny that Plaintiff is entitled to the relief sought in the Complaint, or any relief at all.

JURY DEMAND

Defendants demand a trial by jury on all issues so triable.

AFFIRMATIVE AND OTHER DEFENSES

Without assuming any burden of proof, persuasion or production not otherwise legally assigned to Defendants as to any element of any claim asserted in the Complaint, Defendants assert the following affirmative and other defenses:

FIRST DEFENSE

The complaint fails to state a claim upon which relief can be granted.

SECOND DEFENSE

SKAT's claims are barred by the doctrine of the Revenue Rule, which prohibits one sovereign from using courts of another sovereign to enforce its revenue laws.

THIRD DEFENSE

Defendants acted at all times reasonably and with due care; reasonably relied upon the actions and statements of others; and did not directly or indirectly cause, induce, aid, or abet any acts constituting the claims asserted by SKAT.

FOURTH DEFENSE

Defendants did not engage in any unlawful conduct, and Defendants are not liable for any unlawful acts that may have been committed by others.

FIFTH DEFENSE

SKAT has failed to mitigate, minimize, or avoid any alleged damages.

SIXTH DEFENSE

SKAT is barred from relief by the doctrines of unclean hands, estoppel, laches, release, unjust enrichment, and/or waiver due to SKAT's own acts and/or omissions with reference to the subject matter of the Complaint.

SEVENTH DEFENSE

The alleged damages sought by SKAT are barred, in whole or in part, by the applicable statute(s) of limitations.

EIGHTH DEFENSE

The proximate cause of SKAT's injuries, if any, is the conduct of SKAT or others and not any act or omission by Defendants.

NINTH DEFENSE

The claims alleged in the Complaint are barred in whole or in part for failure to join indispensable or necessary parties.

TENTH DEFENSE

The recovery by SKAT, if any, should be barred because the subject matter of this lawsuit is the subject of another pending legal proceeding.

ELEVENTH DEFENSE

SKAT's action is barred because of SKAT's failure to exhaust administrative and other legal remedies available to it.

TWELFTH DEFENSE

Defendants hereby adopt and incorporate by reference any and all other legally valid defenses to be asserted by any other defendant in this action to the extent they are applicable to Defendants.

THIRTEENTH DEFENSE

The claims alleged in the Complaint are barred in whole or in part by res judicata.

FOURTEENTH DEFENSE

The Complaint fails to plead fraud with the adequate degree of specificity and particularity.

FIFTEENTH DEFENSE

If SKAT suffered any loss, damage, or injury, such loss, damage, or injury was caused in whole or in part by, and arose out of, SKAT's culpable conduct, including but not limited to, contributory negligence and assumption of the risk.

SIXTEENTH DEFENSE

If SKAT suffered any loss, damage, or injury, such alleged loss, damage, or injury was caused in whole or in part by the negligence, assumption of risk, and/or fault of other culpable parties and/or third parties to this action, other than Defendants, for whose acts or omissions or breaches of legal duty Defendants are not liable.

SEVENTEENTH DEFENSE

The claims alleged in the complaint are barred in whole or in part by collateral estoppel.

EIGHTEENTH DEFENSE

SKAT's recovery, if any, should be reduced by application of New York's General Obligations Law § 15-108.

NINETEENTH DEFENSE

This Court lacks subject matter jurisdiction to hear this action.

TWENTIETH DEFENSE

Defendants were entitled to any money received from SKAT.

TWENTY-FIRST DEFENSE

Plaintiff lacks standing to bring this action.

TWENTY-SECOND DEFENSE

Defendant complied with all applicable rules and regulations, including all applicable U.S. and Danish securities laws and all applicable SKAT policies and procedures, that were in effect when submitting reclaims for refunds of dividend-withholding tax.

TWENTY-THIRD DEFENSE

Defendants hereby reserve and assert all affirmative and other defenses available under any applicable federal or state law. Defendants presently have insufficient knowledge or information upon which to form a basis as to whether they may have additional, as yet unstated, affirmative or other defenses available. Defendants have not knowingly or intentionally waived any applicable affirmative defenses and reserve the right to assert additional defenses, counterclaims, cross-claims, and third-party claims at any subsequent stage of this action in the event that discovery indicates that such additional defenses or claims would be appropriate.

WHEREFORE, having fully answered all of the allegations of the Complaint to which any answer is required, and for the reasons set forth above, Tarvos and van Merkensteijn pray for relief and judgment:

- A. Dismissing the Complaint with prejudice;
- B. Denying Plaintiff the relief sought in the Complaint;
- C. Ordering that Plaintiff take nothing and that judgment be entered against Plaintiff;
- D. Awarding Tarvos and van Merkensteijn costs and expenses incurred as a result of having to defend this action; and
- E. Granting Tarvos and van Merkensteijn such other relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

Dated: New York, New York

June 29, 2020

Respectfully submitted,

KOSTELANETZ & FINK, LLP

By: s/ Sharon L. McCarthy
SHARON L. MCCARTHY
CAROLINE CIRAOLLO
NICHOLAS S. BAHNSEN
7 World Trade Center, 34th Floor
New York, New York 10007
Tel: (212) 808-8100
Fax: (212) 808-8108
cciraolo@kflaw.com
smccarthy@kflaw.com
nbahnsen@kflaw.com

*Attorneys for Defendants Tarvos
Pension Plan and John van
Merkensteijn*